

Child's Name:	_ Child's DOB:
Program:	Service Coordinator:
Phone:	Email:
Date of IFSP Meeting:	Begin/End Dates: /
Basis of Eligibility: □ Developmenta □ Informed Clinical Opinion Updated:	ll Delay
Child's Present Level of Development: (🗸 o	confirmed 25% or greater delay) tion Adaptive Social or Emotional Vision Hearing
Parent/Caregiver:	Email Address:
Contact#:	Alternate#:
Address: Cit	ty: State: <u>AL</u> Zip: County:
Child Has:	
We will review your child's progress, chang eview at any time and required reviews wi	□ mailed □ delivered □emailed ge plan services or add new information as needed. You may request a lill be based on <i>target</i> dates indicated below. (§303.342)
Six-month review:	Purpose: Discuss progress, evaluate progress, and change plan if needed
Date due Date completed	
Annual Review (in person):	Purpose: Discuss progress, evaluate progress, and change plan if needed
Date due	
Transition Meeting at 27 months or initial IFS child is 27 months or more:	SP if Purpose: Discuss where your child will continue to do his/her best when he/she turns 3. Discuss notification to a Local Education Agency (pre-school) or other community placements.
Date due Date completed	
Transition Planning Meeting with LEA prior to months unless parent Opts Out:	Purpose: Discuss your child's educational pre-school needs and introduce your family to school system personnel OR meet with an alternate community placement agency of your choice.
Date due Date completed	



Child's Name:	Child's DOB:	🗖 For Initial Plan 🗖 For A	nnual Plan
AEIS VOLUNTAR	Y FAMILY ASSESSME	ENT REPORT (add pages as n	eeded)
		view [§303.321(c)(2)(iii)]. This process identifies acity to meet the developmental needs of their o	
☐ I chose to voluntarily participate	(parent initial)	☐ I chose not to participate	(parent initial)
Service Coordinator Signature:			
□ For Initial Plan/Assessment Tool:□ For Annual Plan: Ongoing personal		ate: l/or family member Date:	
		re, clinics, agencies, etc.). Intervention is about here a part in your family's plan. *indicate any char	
cannot say what he wants".) So we know what t daily (eating, bathing, etc.) and family routines	to help you work on, describe wi (trips, shopping, church, etc.) ar	getting my child ready for the day", "My child is to nat concerns you most about your child or your for nd the importance of addressing each.	amily's situation during
			#
			#
			#
			#
			#
			#
			#
			#
			#
			#



hild's Name:	Child's DOB:		l Plan
	CONCER	NS CONTINUED:	
			#
			#
			#
		AT: ☐ 6-MONTH REVIEW	
			#
			#
			#
			#
			#
	CONCERNS ADDED A	T: ADDITIONAL REVIEW	
			#
			.,
			#
			#
			#
			#
			#



Child's Name:	Child's DOB:	☐ For Initial Plan	☐ For Annual Plan

Outcomes are based on parent identified resources, priorities and concerns. Consideration must be given to pre-literacy and language skills as developmentally appropriate. Services are based on peer-reviewed research to the extent practicable. Service(s) are provided in natural environments to the maximum extent appropriate OR a justification explains why early intervention services cannot be achieved satisfactorily in a natural environment. Multiple outcomes can be addressed by a single provider at the same time. If a parent is not satisfied with progress at review, revise this outcome. (\$303.344)

OUTCOME:	Family Evaluation	Family Evaluation
OUTCOME:	☐ 6-month ☐ Additional Review	☐ Annual ☐ Additional Review
	Additional Review	Additional Review
	Date:	Date:
	Parent initial:	Parent initial:
Procedure(s):	☐ We are pleased to have achieved this	☐ We are pleased to have achieved this
	outcome	outcome
	☐ We are pleased with progress but we will	☐ We are pleased with progress but we will
	continue to work on this outcome	continue to work on this outcome
	☐ We are pleased there	☐ We are pleased there
	was some progress but want a revision during	was some progress but want a revision during
	this IFSP review We are not pleased	this IFSP review We are not pleased
□ added at 6-month review	with progress and want a revision during this	with progress and want a revision during this
□ added at additional review	IFSP review	IFSP review
u audeu at auditional review	☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority	☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority



Child's Name:	Child's DOB:	☐ For Initial Plan	For Annual Plan

Outcomes are based on parent identified resources, priorities and concerns. Consideration must be given to pre-literacy and language skills as developmentally appropriate. Services are based on peer-reviewed research to the extent practicable. Service(s) are provided in natural environments to the maximum extent appropriate OR a justification explains why early intervention services cannot be achieved satisfactorily in a natural environment. Multiple outcomes can be addressed by a single provider at the same time. If a parent is not satisfied with progress at review, revise this outcome. (\$303.344)

	Family Evaluation	Family Evaluation
OUTCOME:	_	_
	G-month	Annual Annual
	Additional Review	☐ Additional Review
	Date:	Date:
	Parent initial:	Parent initial:
Procedure(s):	We are pleased to	We are pleased to
	have achieved this	have achieved this
	outcome	outcome
	We are pleased with	We are pleased with
	progress but we will	progress but we will
	continue to work on this	continue to work on this
	outcome	outcome
	☐ We are pleased there	☐ We are pleased there
	was some progress but	was some progress but
	want a revision during	want a revision during
	this IFSP review	this IFSP review
	☐ We are not pleased	☐ We are not pleased
☐ added at 6-month review	with progress and want	with progress and want
Lauded at 6-month review	a revision during this IFSP review	a revision during this IFSP review
□ added at additional review	☐ We no longer consider	☐ We no longer consider
	this outcome a priority	this outcome a priority
	ting outcome a priority	and obtaine a priority



Child's Name:	Child's DOB:	For Initial Plan 🗖 F	or Annual Plan	
Outcomes are based on parent identified resources, priorities and concerns. Consideration must be given to ore-literacy and language skills as developmentally appropriate. Services are based on peer-reviewed research to the extent practicable. Service(s) are provided in natural environments to the maximum extent appropriate DR a justification explains why early intervention services cannot be achieved satisfactorily in a natural environment. Multiple outcomes can be addressed by a single provider at the same time. If a parent is not satisfied with progress at review, revise this outcome. (§303.344)				
OUTCOME:		Family Evaluation G-month Additional Review Date: Parent initial:	Family Evaluation Annual Additional Review Date: Parent initial:	
□ added at 6-month review		☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority	□ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome □ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome □ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review □ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review □ We no longer consider this outcome a priority	



Child's Name:	Child's DOB:	_ U For Initial Plan U F	or Annual Plan
Outcomes are based on parent identifie pre-literacy and language skills as devel to the extent practicable. Service(s) are <u>OR</u> a justification explains why early int environment. <u>Multiple outcomes can be</u> satisfied with progress at review, revise	lopmentally appropriate e provided in natural env ervention services canno e addressed by a single p	. Services are based on p ironments to the maximuot be achieved satisfactor or ovider at the same time	eer-reviewed research om extent appropriate rily in a natural
OUTCOME:		Family Evaluation Genonth Additional Review Date: Parent initial:	Family Evaluation Annual Additional Review Date: Parent initial:
□ added at 6-month review		☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority	☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority



Child's Name:	_ Cuila, s DOR:	D For Initial Plan D F	or Annual Plan
Outcomes are based on parent identific pre-literacy and language skills as deve to the extent practicable. Service(s) ar OR a justification explains why early in environment. Multiple outcomes can be satisfied with progress at review, revise	elopmentally appropriat re provided in natural en tervention services can be addressed by a single	e. Services are based on postronments to the maximulation be achieved satisfactor provider at the same time	eer-reviewed research um extent appropriate rily in a natural
OUTCOME:		Family Evaluation G-month Additional Review Date: Parent initial:	Family Evaluation Annual Additional Review Date: Parent initial:
□ added at 6-month review		□ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome □ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome □ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review □ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review □ We no longer consider this outcome a priority	☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority



Child's Name:	_ Cuila, s DOR:	D For Initial Plan D F	or Annual Plan
Outcomes are based on parent identific pre-literacy and language skills as deve to the extent practicable. Service(s) ar OR a justification explains why early in environment. Multiple outcomes can be satisfied with progress at review, revise	elopmentally appropriat re provided in natural en tervention services can be addressed by a single	e. Services are based on postronments to the maximulation be achieved satisfactor provider at the same time	eer-reviewed research um extent appropriate rily in a natural
OUTCOME:		Family Evaluation G-month Additional Review Date: Parent initial:	Family Evaluation Annual Additional Review Date: Parent initial:
□ added at 6-month review		□ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome □ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome □ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review □ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review □ We no longer consider this outcome a priority	☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority



Child's Name:	_ Cuila, s DOR:	D For Initial Plan D F	or Annual Plan
Outcomes are based on parent identific pre-literacy and language skills as deve to the extent practicable. Service(s) ar OR a justification explains why early in environment. Multiple outcomes can be satisfied with progress at review, revise	elopmentally appropriat re provided in natural en tervention services can be addressed by a single	e. Services are based on postronments to the maximulation be achieved satisfactor provider at the same time	eer-reviewed research um extent appropriate rily in a natural
OUTCOME:		Family Evaluation G-month Additional Review Date: Parent initial:	Family Evaluation Annual Additional Review Date: Parent initial:
□ added at 6-month review		□ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome □ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome □ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review □ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review □ We no longer consider this outcome a priority	☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority



Child's Name:	Child's DOB:	_ U For Initial Plan U F	or Annual Plan
Outcomes are based on parent identifie pre-literacy and language skills as devel to the extent practicable. Service(s) are <u>OR</u> a justification explains why early int environment. <u>Multiple outcomes can be</u> satisfied with progress at review, revise	lopmentally appropriate e provided in natural env ervention services canno e addressed by a single p	. Services are based on p ironments to the maximuot be achieved satisfactor or ovider at the same time	eer-reviewed research om extent appropriate rily in a natural
OUTCOME:		Family Evaluation Genonth Additional Review Date: Parent initial:	Family Evaluation Annual Additional Review Date: Parent initial:
□ added at 6-month review		☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority	☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority



Child's Name:	_ Cuila, s DOR:	D For Initial Plan D F	or Annual Plan
Outcomes are based on parent identific pre-literacy and language skills as deve to the extent practicable. Service(s) ar OR a justification explains why early in environment. Multiple outcomes can be satisfied with progress at review, revise	elopmentally appropriat re provided in natural en tervention services can be addressed by a single	e. Services are based on postronments to the maximulation be achieved satisfactor provider at the same time	eer-reviewed research um extent appropriate rily in a natural
OUTCOME:		Family Evaluation G-month Additional Review Date: Parent initial:	Family Evaluation Annual Additional Review Date: Parent initial:
□ added at 6-month review		□ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome □ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome □ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review □ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review □ We no longer consider this outcome a priority	☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority



Child's Name:	_ Cuila, s DOR:	D For Initial Plan D F	or Annual Plan
Outcomes are based on parent identific pre-literacy and language skills as deve to the extent practicable. Service(s) ar OR a justification explains why early in environment. Multiple outcomes can be satisfied with progress at review, revise	elopmentally appropriat re provided in natural en tervention services can be addressed by a single	e. Services are based on postironments to the maximum of be achieved satisfactor provider at the same time	eer-reviewed research um extent appropriate rily in a natural
OUTCOME:		Family Evaluation G-month Additional Review Date: Parent initial:	Family Evaluation Annual Additional Review Date: Parent initial:
□ added at 6-month review		□ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome □ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome □ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review □ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review □ We no longer consider this outcome a priority	☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority



Child's Name:	Child's DOB:	_ U For Initial Plan U F	or Annual Plan
Outcomes are based on parent identifie pre-literacy and language skills as devel to the extent practicable. Service(s) are <u>OR</u> a justification explains why early int environment. <u>Multiple outcomes can be</u> satisfied with progress at review, revise	lopmentally appropriate e provided in natural env ervention services canno e addressed by a single p	. Services are based on p ironments to the maximuot be achieved satisfactor or ovider at the same time	eer-reviewed research om extent appropriate rily in a natural
OUTCOME:		Family Evaluation Genonth Additional Review Date: Parent initial:	Family Evaluation Annual Additional Review Date: Parent initial:
□ added at 6-month review		☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority	☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority



Child's Name:	Child's DOB:	_ 🗇 For Initial Plan 🗇 F	or Annual Plan
Outcomes are based on parent ide pre-literacy and language skills as to the extent practicable. Services OR a justification explains why ear environment. Multiple outcomes satisfied with progress at review, respectively.	developmentally appropriate (s) are provided in natural env rly intervention services cann can be addressed by a single p	 Services are based on prironments to the maximulation of be achieved satisfactor or ovider at the same time 	eer-reviewed research um extent appropriate rily in a natural
OUTCOME:		Family Evaluation General General Review Date: Parent initial:	Family Evaluation Annual Additional Review Date: Parent initial:
□ added at 6-month review added at additional review		☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority	☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority



Child's Name:	_ Cuila, s DOR:	D For Initial Plan D F	or Annual Plan
Outcomes are based on parent identific pre-literacy and language skills as deve to the extent practicable. Service(s) ar OR a justification explains why early in environment. Multiple outcomes can be satisfied with progress at review, revise	elopmentally appropriat re provided in natural en tervention services can be addressed by a single	e. Services are based on postironments to the maximum of be achieved satisfactor provider at the same time	eer-reviewed research um extent appropriate rily in a natural
OUTCOME:		Family Evaluation G-month Additional Review Date: Parent initial:	Family Evaluation Annual Additional Review Date: Parent initial:
□ added at 6-month review		□ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome □ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome □ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review □ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review □ We no longer consider this outcome a priority	☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We are not pleased with progress and want a revision during this IFSP review ☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority



Child's Name:	Child's DOB:	☐ For Initial Plan	☐ For Annual Plan

Outcomes are based on parent identified resources, priorities and concerns. Consideration must be given to pre-literacy and language skills as developmentally appropriate. Services are based on peer-reviewed research to the extent practicable. Service(s) are provided in natural environments to the maximum extent appropriate OR a justification explains why early intervention services cannot be achieved satisfactorily in a natural environment. Multiple outcomes can be addressed by a single provider at the same time. If a parent is not satisfied with progress at review, revise this outcome. (§303.344)

OUTCOME:	Family Evaluation	Family Evaluation
OUTCOME:	☐ 6-month	☐ Annual
	☐ Additional Review	☐ Additional Review
	Date:	Date:
	Parent initial:	Parent initial:
Procedure(s):	□ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome□ We are pleased with progress but we will	□ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome□ We are pleased with progress but we will
	continue to work on this outcome	continue to work on this outcome
	■ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review	■ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review
	☐ We are not pleased with progress and want	☐ We are not pleased with progress and want
□ added at 6-month review	a revision during this	a revision during this
□ added at additional review	IFSP review	IFSP review
	☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority	☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority



Child's Name:	Child's DOB:	☐ For Initial Plan	☐ For Annual Plan

Outcomes are based on parent identified resources, priorities and concerns. Consideration must be given to pre-literacy and language skills as developmentally appropriate. Services are based on peer-reviewed research to the extent practicable. Service(s) are provided in natural environments to the maximum extent appropriate OR a justification explains why early intervention services cannot be achieved satisfactorily in a natural environment. Multiple outcomes can be addressed by a single provider at the same time. If a parent is not satisfied with progress at review, revise this outcome. (§303.344)

OUTCOME:	Family Evaluation	Family Evaluation
OUTCOME:	☐ 6-month	☐ Annual
	☐ Additional Review	☐ Additional Review
	Date:	Date:
	Parent initial:	Parent initial:
Procedure(s):	□ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome□ We are pleased with progress but we will	□ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome□ We are pleased with progress but we will
	continue to work on this outcome	continue to work on this outcome
	■ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review	■ We are pleased there was some progress but want a revision during this IFSP review
	☐ We are not pleased with progress and want	☐ We are not pleased with progress and want
□ added at 6-month review	a revision during this	a revision during this
□ added at additional review	IFSP review	IFSP review
	☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority	☐ We no longer consider this outcome a priority



-1 11 12	al II Ir - a -		
Child's Name:	Child's DOB:	☐ For Initial Plan	For Annual Plan

EARLY INTERVENTION SERVICES PAGE (add pages as needed)

LAKET INTERVENTION SERVIC	(aaa pa	iges as necaea	,
Service Coordination: Begin Date:			·
Mechod. Li Direct Child/Family Service Li Support/information to Family			
El Service:		consent to a ch	re indicates written ange in El service
Intensity: 🗖 Individual 🗖 Group			the following review:
Begin/End Date:		☐ 6-month	☐ additional
Frequency/Length: Method: Direct Child/Family Service Consultation		☐Add new serv	vice:
☐ Support/Information to Family			
Potential Payer(s) of Services: (1) (2) (Evaluations at public expense)		Parent	Signature/Date
Setting:	_	□End Service:	(effective date)
☐ Early Intervention Service(s) is in natural environment☐ Justification if not in the natural environment		Parent	Signature/Date
El Service:	-	_	re indicates written
Intensity: Individual Group			ange in EI service the following review:
Begin/End Date:		☐ 6-month	☐ additional
Frequency/Length:		□Add new serv	vice:
Method: ☐ Direct Child/Family Service ☐ Consultation		And Hew serv	
☐ Support/Information to Family Potential Payer(s) of Services: (1)(2)		Parent	Signature/Date
(Evaluations at public expense)		☐End Service:	
Setting:	-		(effective date)
☐ Early Intervention Service(s) is in natural environment☐ Justification if not in the natural environment		Parent	Signature/Date



Child's DOB:	
s are based on when a child ente discuss transition unless a pare	LANNING o a child turning 3 years old (27 months or at initiaers AEIS. Local Education Agency (LEA) is notifiedent opts-out in 10 days (a plan is always written to
transition process and how it may	Family Evaluation impact this
ervice Coordinator (SC) seps necessary to transition toddler for vice. SC will explain: ar old programs I and 3-5 year old programs	We are pleased to have achieved this outcome We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome We are not pleased with progress Explain: Parent initial/date here:
•	Family Evaluation
ervice Coordinator (SC) I placement options and LEA progra ice delivery is different from AEIS se t options for toddlers in this family's mother's day out programs, other o	we will continue to work on this outcome community options to we will continue to work on this outcome We are not pleased with progres Explain:
	TRANSITION PI no earlier than 9 months prior to a rebased on when a child enter of discuss transition unless a pare (§303.209) transition process and how it may ars of age. coordination service Coordinator (SC) teps necessary to transition toddler fivice. SC will explain: ar old programs I and 3-5 year old programs assed on toddler's current needs service and placement options avaithree years old. coordination fervice Coordinator (SC) all placement options and LEA progravice delivery is different from AEIS set toptions for toddlers in this family's a mother's day out programs, other orials as a further guide for transition.



:h	hild's Name: Child's DOB: 🗖 For Initial Plan 🗖 For Annual Plan			
	Target Date: (27 months) Parent makes choice regarding placement options for child at age 3.	Family Evaluation		
	Service(s) Provided: Service Coordination Team Member Responsible: Service Coordinator (SC) Procedure(s): Parent chooses from the following: Parent chooses to opt-out of notification to LEA and has signed an opt-out form presented during this meeting. (Notification will not be sent) Parent will make a Parent Referral to LEA if they change their mind later and want child considered for LEA pre-school.	 □ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome □ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome □ We are not pleased with progress Explain: 		
	Parent requests 10 days to determine if they wish to opt-out of notification to LEA but has not yet signed the opt-out form. (Notification will be sent if this form is not returned to SC)			
	☐ Parent expresses interest in notification to LEA and has given written permission to release additional documentation to LEA such as IFSP and evaluation reports.			
	☐ Parent expresses interest in notification to LEA but has not given written permission to release additional documentation to LEA.			
	SC may notify LEA (and include personally identifiable information like parent/contact names, address, telephone, DOB) and request a convenient Transition Planning Meeting with parent/LEA.			
	☐ SC may notify LEA but does not request to schedule a Transition Planning Meeting because the child is already 33 months of age. (The LEA will contact the family to explore further options.)			
	☐ Parent chooses for their child to remain at home or a community placement option(s) other than LEA or in addition to LEA, and SC agrees to meet with paren and alternate placement agency if parent chooses. (may choose more than one)			
	Chaices			



Child's Name:	Child's DOB:	☐ For Initial Plan ☐ For Annual	Plan
---------------	--------------	---------------------------------	------

Target Date: (27 months)	Family Evaluation
Service(s) Provided: Service Coordination Team Member Responsible: Service Coordinator Procedure(s): Name of Local Education Agency:	☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are not pleased with progress Explain: ————————————————————————————————————
form if they choose to send additional information to LEA. (State Office notifies State Education Department unless family opts-out)	☐ Service Coordinator check box if parent did not attend 33 month meeting
Target Date: (33 months) A Transition Planning Meeting with LEA is convened to discuss child's educational pre-school needs and introduce family to school system personnel OR meeting is convened with an alternate community placement agency (if appropriate).	Family Evaluation
Service(s) Provided: Service Coordination Team Member Responsible: Service Coordinator Procedure(s): SC accompanies parent to Transition Planning Meeting at LEA. LEA answers questions about services and explains parent rights for Part B (preschool). Parent chooses to move forward with LEA eligibility determination.	☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are not pleased with progress Explain:
□ SC accompanies parent to meet with alternate community placement agency (if appropriate) Name of alternate community placement agency:	Parent initial/date here at 33 month meeting



Child's Name:		Child's DOB:		☐ For Initial Plan ☐ For Annual Plan	
	Target Date: (33 months)			Family Evaluation	
	SC discusses with parent other activities	which may facilitate a smoo	other		

Target Date: (33 months) SC discusses with parent other activities which may facilitate a smoother	Family Evaluation
transition.	
Service(s) Provided: Service Coordination Team Member Responsible: Service Coordinator Procedure(s): SC suggests additional supportive activities which may help the child adjust to a new environment. Steps or recommendations may include:	☐ We are pleased to have achieved this outcome ☐ We are pleased with progress but we will continue to work on this outcome ☐ We are not pleased with progress Explain: ————————————————————————————————————



Child's Name:	Child's DOB:		☐ For Annual Plan
NON	N-EARLY INTERVENTIO	N SERVICES	
To the extent appropriate with regard to med services that the child and family needs or is reconstructed. If those services are not currently provided family to assist in securing those services. Expecialization groups, private therapy of parent (C) is not responsible for payment, monitoring	eceiving through other d, the following describe xamples include: local s at choice to supplement	sources but are neither requires steps to be taken by the ser upport groups, certain CRS cl recommended El services.	red nor funded by Part vice coordinator or inics, medical clinics,
■ No Non-El Service at the initial/annual IFS	SP Date:		
☐ No Non-El Service at the 6-month review	Date:		
■ Non-El Service in place at time of initial/ar (Service/Agency respons			
■ Non-El Service in place at time of 6-month (Service/Agency respons			
Non-El Service parent would like to access (Service/Agency responsible)		neeting:	
Assistance in accessing Non-El service or supp	Parent will make	rent with information re: comr e contact with community-bas mily in making arrangements	
Other assistance:			
■ Non-El Service parent would like to access (Service/Agency responsi			
Assistance in accessing Non-El service or supp	Parent will make	rent with information re: comr e contact with community-bas mily in make arrangements	
Other assistance:			



Child's Name:		Child's DOB:	🗖 For Initial Plan 🛭	For Annual Plan	
Planning teams include parent(s), caregiver(s), evaluators, advocates, family and providers who are ready to help achieve outcomes. Other team members may be identified at any time and added to the team. Team members may consider revisions to the current plan when they feel it is needed. (Add second signature page if needed.)					
		DATE:	DATE:	DATE:	
NAME	TEAM MEMBER	SIGNATURE INITIAL/ANNUAL IFSP	SIGNATURE 6-MONTH REVIEW (note if by phone or by other acceptable means)	SIGNATURE 27 MONTH TRANSITION MEETING	
	Service Coordinator				
	Evaluator				
	Evaluator				
	Relative/ Friend/ Advocate				
	PARENT	_			

PARENT